UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,157	07/28/2003	Peter J. Black	020754 5981	
	7590 09/18/200 INCORPORATED	8	EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.		WANG, TED M	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/629,	157	BLACK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		er	Art Unit	
	TED M.	WANG	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this comn Period for Reply	unication appears on t	he cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provise after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximuter of the provise after the provided period for the provided period per	E MAILING DATE OF cons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. In statutory period will apply and apply will, by statute, cause the a chs after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUNICATI event, however, may a reply be will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condit closed in accordance with the present the condition of the communication of the c	2b)⊠ This action is on for allowance exce	non-final. pt for formal matters,		ne merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 34-67 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s)i 5) ☐ Claim(s) 50-65 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 34-39,42,66 and 67 is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) 40,41 and 43-49 is/are 6 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to res Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/a	s/are withdrawn from ore rejected. be bjected to. triction and/or election the Examiner. ure: a) ☐ accepted or	i requirement. b)⊡ objected to by th		
Applicant may not request that any or Replacement drawing sheet(s) included the country of the c	ling the correction is requ	uired if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prio 2. Certified copies of the prio 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	ity documents have be ity documents have be es of the priority docur ational Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applic ments have been rece ule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this Nationa	.l Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/I		4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/09/2008 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 34-67 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 34-39, 42 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smee et al. (WO 02/09305).
 - With regard claim 34, Smee et al. discloses a method of receiving data in a wireless communication system, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/629,157

Art Unit: 2611

comparing (page 30 lines 27-29, where S/N_{EQ} is compared with S/N_{RAKE}) a first metric associated with a RAKE processing element (Fig.3 element 330 and page 29 lines 5-28, where the first metric is considered as S/N_{RAKE}) to a second metric associated with an equalizer (Fig.3 element 310 and page 30 lines 7-26, where the second metric is considered as S/N_{EQ}); and

Page 3

based on said comparing, determining whether to transition from one of first and second modes of data reception to the other of said first and second modes of data reception (page 30 lines 27-30, where when the quality metric of the equalizer is less than that of RAKE, the selector selects RAKE (second mode) or enables the equalizer if the quality metric of the equalizer is better (first mode));

wherein said first mode of data reception is defined by a first combination of respective operational states of the RAKE processing element and the equalizer (page 30 lines 7-30 as described in the above paragraph, Examiner considers the first mode as receiver operated with the equalizer enabled that is determined by the comparison result of the first operation state with quality metric (S/N_{RAKE}) and the second operation state with quality metric (S/N_{RAKE}) ; where

wherein said second mode of data reception is defined by a second combination of respective operational states of the RAKE processing element and the equalizer (page 30 lines 7-30 as described in the above paragraph, Examiner considers the second mode as receiver operated by the Rake receiver

Application/Control Number: 10/629,157

Art Unit: 2611

only that is determined by the comparison result of the first operation state with quality metric (S/N_{RAKE}) and the second operation state with quality metric (S/N_{EQ}), where S/N_{RAKE} > S/N_{EQ}); and

Page 4

wherein said first combination of operational states differs from said second combination of operational states (as described in the above paragraph, the first combination of operation state in first mode is operated with equalizer enable that is different from the second combination of operation state in second mode with equalizer disable or RAKE receiver only).

- With regard claim 35, Smee et al. further discloses wherein the RAKE processing element and the equalizer are enabled for operation concurrently in said first mode of data reception (page 9 lines 34-37, where the receive data processor includes two signal processing paths that can be operated in parallel to provide improved performance, especially at higher data rates. The first signal processing path includes an equalizer 310 coupled to a post processor 320, and the second signal processing path includes a rake receiver 330.)
- With regard claim 36, Smee et al. further discloses wherein the RAKE processing element is enabled for operation and the equalizer is disabled from operation in said second mode of data reception (page 30 lines 7-30 as described in the above paragraph, Examiner considers the second mode as receiver operated by the Rake receiver only that is determined by the comparison result of the first operation state with quality metric (S/N_{RAKE}) and the second operation state with quality metric (S/N_{RAKE}) where S/N_{RAKE} > S/N_{EQ}).

Art Unit: 2611

□ With regard claim 37, Smee et al. further discloses wherein said first and second metrics are respective wireless communication channel metrics (Fig.3 element 330 and page 29 lines 5-28 and Fig.3 element 310 and page 30 lines 7-26, where the first metric associated with a RAKE processing element that the first metric is considered as S/N_{RAKE} and the second metric associated with an equalizer that the second metric is considered as S/N_{EQ}; they are different and are respective wireless communication channel metrics.)

- With regard claims 38 and 39, Smee et al. further discloses wherein said wireless communication channel metrics are channel quality metrics (page 29 lines 5-28, where the first quality metric is considered as S/N_{RAKE} and page 30 lines 7-26, where the second quality metric is considered as S/N_{EQ}).
- □ With regard claim 42, Smee et al. further discloses wherein each of said wireless communication channel metrics includes signal correlation information (Fig.5 element 520 and Fig.7 element 720).
- □ With regard claim 66, which is a mean plus function claim related to claim 34, all limitation is contained in claim 34. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject

Art Unit: 2611

matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claim 67 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Smee et al. (WO 02/09305)" in view of Langberg et al. (US 5,852,630).
 - With regard claim 66, Smee et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for the method written by a software program embodied in a computer-readable medium.

However, Langberg et al. teaches that the method and apparatus for a transceiver warm start activation procedure with precoding can be implemented in software stored in a computer-readable medium. The computer-readable medium is an electronic, magnetic, optical, or other physical device or means that can be contain or store a computer program for use by or in connection with a computer-related system or method (column 3, lines 51-65). One skilled in the art would have clearly recognized that the method of "Smee et al." would have been implemented in a software. The implemented software would perform same function of the hardware for less expense, adaptability, and flexibility. Therefore, it would have been obvious to have used the software in "Smee et al." as taught by Langberg et al. in order to reduce cost and improve the adaptability and flexibility of the communication system.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 50-65 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2611

8. Claims 40, 41, 43-49 are objected to as being dependent upon an objected claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted M. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-3053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Ted M Wang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611